

B

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMUSSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/893,209	09/19/2001 90 12/11/2002	Song Kim	7091:103110102873	5641		
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.			EXAMINER			
29th Floor 865 S. Figueroa			NGUYEN, TRINH T			
Los Angeles, C.	A 90017	RECEIVED	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	Ŧ	3726				

DEC 1 8 2002

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2002

Ellipping a journa

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	. 1	'	کي بن ـ	) (	·				W.
		THE VAN	AN 2 3 2006		Cummary	Application No. 09/893,209	Applicant(s)	applicant(s) Kim	
	<i>U</i> 1	TT &	A CTIOTY	SUMM 	<u>.                               </u>	Examiner Trinh Nguy	en	Art Unit 3726	
	- The	e MA	VILING DATE of	f this con	umunication appear	s on the cover sheet w	ith the corres	pondence addre	958
Period	•		CTATIITADV	DEDIVO	EOD DEDI V 16 65	T TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH	ICI EDOM	
THE	MAILIN	IG D	ATE OF THIS	COMMU	INICATION.				
mailing - If the - If NO - Failure - Any n	g date of the period for period for to reply to period for the per	this co reply reply within wed by	mmunication. specified above is le is specified above, ti the set or extended	se then thirty he meximum period for re a three month	r (30) days, a raply within statutory period will appl ply will, by statute, cause as after the mailing date o	In no event, however, may a rep to the statutory minimum of thirty y and will expire SIX (6) MONTH the application to become ABA of this communication, even if the	(30) days will be IS from the mailin NDONED (35 U.S	considered timely. g date of this commu. .C. § 133).	
Status					Allert on Alexa O. I	2002			
1)(x)	•				filed on Nov 8, 2				•
2a) ∐			n is FINAL.		2b) ☑ This a				
	closed	d in a	accordance w			e except for formal ma parte Quayle, 1935 C.			e merits is
Disposi	•	_					ie/oro	pending in the	annlication
-		_							
5) 🗀								is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim	(s) <u>1</u>	and 3		<del></del>		·	is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim	(s) _			·	<u> </u>		is/are objected	to.
8) 🗆						are subje			
Applica									
_			-		by the Examiner.		_		
10)			. <del>-</del>			re a) accepted or	- ·		
441				•	•	drawing(s) be held in a	-	37 CFR 1.85(a	a).
11)						is: a)	approved	o) La disapprov	YOLOGY CENTER AD TO
12)				•	ed to by the Exar	y to this Office action.			CC.
			J.S.C. §§ 119	_	·	mici.		TEQ.	AN OVE
						priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)	الاحد (d) or (f).	2000 2000
	_		Some* c)	_	_				CENT
	1.0	Certi	ified copies of	the prior	rity documents ha	eve been received.			"EA Ro.
	2. 🗆 (	Certi	ified copies of	the prior	rity documents ha	ave been received in A	pplication N	lo	<b>~~</b>
			application	from the	International Bur	documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	}}.		
						he certified copies no c priority under 35 U.		۵۱	
_	_		_			nal application has bee		e).	•
						c priority under 35 U.		and/or 121.	
Attachm						- priority criterio	-: -: - · · · ·		
1) No	tice of Re	terenc	es Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summary (	PTO-413) Paper I	ło(s)	
2)   No	tice of Dn	sftsper	rson's Patent Drawir	ng Review (P	TO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application (	PTO-152)	
3) 🔲 toto	emstion (	Disclos	ture Statement(a) (F	TO-1449) Pe	per No(s).	6) Cther:			

Application/Control Number: 09/893209

Art Unit:

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Continued Examination under 37 CFR 1.114 After Final Rejection

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/8/02 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Serwer (US 3,030,696) in view of Tramont (US 5,693,141).

As shown in clearly in Figures 1, 2, and 4, and lines 1-55 of col. 2, Serwer clearly discloses all the limitations as claimed except for: 1) a natural sponge member formed on the roller tube having natural sponge protrusions capable of applying paint to a surface in a positive

Application/Control Number: 09/893209

Art Unit:

design form; and 2) the base material comprises cotton. Note that Serwer's roller tube has at least one end being open since an end cap (23) is being inserted on this open end (see Figure 4).

Regarding 1) a natural sponge member formed on the roller tube...capable of applying paint to a surface in a positive design form, Tramont teaches the use of a natural sponge member formed on the roller tube wherein the natural sponge member having sponge protrusions capable of applying paint to a surface in a positive design form (see lines 60-63 of col. 8, lines 1-10 of col. 9, and Figure 4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced Serwer's synthetic sponge-like lamina 12 with a natural sponge member, as taught in Tramont, in order to save the cost of manufacturing a synthetic sponge member, and since Tramont states that the material can be either synthetic sponge or natural sponge (see lines 60-65 of col. 8).

Regarding 2), Serwer teaches the use of a backing/base layer as claimed, but made of "substantially non-elastic material, such as paper of others suitable flexible non-elastic sheeting" (see lines 1-8 of col. 2). Cotton is in this category. Furthermore, Applicant acknowledges that other materials can be used as the backing/base material (i.e., fabric, plastic sheets, natural or synthetic rubber) (see paragraph [0020] of page 4 of the specification). Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the backing/base material is a matter of design choice, wherein no significant problem is solved, or unexpected result obtained by constructing the backing/base material out of either cotton, or fabric, or plastic sheets, or rubber as claimed versus the backing/base material utilized by Serwer,

Application/Control Number: 09/893209

Art Unit:

since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

#### Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/8/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicant argues that Serwer does not teach a natural sponge member of predetermined thickness, the Examiner agrees. However, as described above in paragraph #3, the reference Tramont was cited to show that using "a natural sponge" member in a paint roller is old and well known. Furthermore, note that Tramont's roll medium (which is equivalent to Applicant's "member" as claimed in claim 1) is provided from either a separate blank material (which means that the blank material is being cut to a predetermined thickness and/or shape) or a continuous blank material (which means that the blank material is from a roll of sheet material that is not being cut).
- 6. Applicant further argues that there is nothing to suggest the use of a strip of natural sponge member onto the roller tube of Serwer, the Examiner disagrees. In this case, the Serwer reference teaches the use of a strip of "sponge-type" material onto a paint roller and the Tramont reference teaches the use of a strip of "natural sponge" material onto a paint roller. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace Serwer's "sponge-type" material with Tramont's "natural sponge" material, since it would be a matter of design choice of material selection.

Art Unit:

7. Applicant further argues that Tramont does not teach the strip being wound diagonally on the roller tube, the Examiner disagrees. In lines 22-27 of col. 5, Tramont teaches that the preformed shape (which is equivalent to the strip as claimed) is wrapped spirally around elongated core stock (which is equivalent to the roller tube as claimed).

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9082.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

ttn

December 10, 2002

A 2726